



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/606,201	06/25/2003	Tammy Burd Mehta	100/05231	4356
21569	7590 07/15/2005		EXAMINER	
CALIPER LIFE SCIENCES, INC. 605 FAIRCHILD DRIVE			TRAN, MY CHAU T	
•••	VIEW, CA 94043-2234		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1639	<u></u>

DATE MAILED: 07/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	ລຸ	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/606,201	BURD MEHTA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
Restriction Only	MY-CHAU T. TRAN	1639
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was pailing to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 December 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 1.	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Application Papers		•
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the orange Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the orange representation is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the land of the	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	· ·	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	Δ) □ Inton-in C	(PTO 442)
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

NC

Application/Control Number: 10/606,201 Page 2

Art Unit: 1639

DETAILED ACTION

Application and Claims Status

- Applicant's preliminary amendment filed 12/23/2003 is acknowledged and entered.
 Claims 2-20 have been added.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-11, and 17-20, drawn to a device, classified in class 435, subclass 288.2.
 - II. Claims 12-16, drawn to a method of carrying out a chemical reaction, classified in class 436, subclass 518.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions of Group I (apparatus) and Group II (process) are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process.

 (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such the process of cell sorting.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the searches required are not co-extensive thus requiring a burdensome search, restriction for examination

Application/Control Number: 10/606,201

Art Unit: 1639

purposes as indicated is proper. Additionally, different patentability considerations are involved for each group. For example, a patentability determination for Group I would involve a determination of the patentability of the device while a patentability determination for Group II would involve a consideration of the patentability of the method of carrying out a chemical reaction. These considerations are very different in nature.

Even though some of the groups are classified in the same class and/or subclass, this has no effect on the non-patent literature search. Different groups would require completely different searches in non-patent databases, and there is no exception that the searches would be co-extensive.

4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, i.e. the apparatus of Group I (Claims 1-11, and 17-20), and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims, i.e. Group II (Claims 12-16) that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product's claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. The amendment(s) that is submitted after final rejection is governed by 37 CFR 1.116; the amendment(s) that is submitted after allowance is governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product's claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product's claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between the product's claims and the process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claims will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product's claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the examiner before the patent issues withdraws the restriction requirement. See MPEP § 804.01.

- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention.
- 6. If applicants elect the invention of Group I, applicants are required to elect one single species from each of the following a)-c) that result in a single specific species of device:
 - a) A single specific species of reagents, for example the species claimed in claims 3-5.
 - b) A single specific species of beads, for example the species claimed in claims 6 and 7.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,201 Page 5

Art Unit: 1639

c) A single specific species of device that is if the device requires a fluid system or not.

The species are distinct, each from the other, because each species have different chemical structure and/or physiochemical properties and would be capable of separate manufacture and/or use; and would necessitate different and separately burdensome manual and computer bibliographic and structure searches in both patent and non-patent areas.

- 7. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 8. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 9. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,201 Page 6

Art Unit: 1639

10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to My-Chau T. Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-0810. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday: 8:00-2:30; Tuesday-Thursday: 7:30-5:00; Friday: 8:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew J. Wang can be reached on 571-272-0811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1639

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 7

mct

July 11, 2005

PADMASHRI PONNALURI PRIMARY EXAMINER